DODD DEPOSITIONS TO BE MADE PU

U.S. Judge Rules Against Senator in Libel Suit

. Special to The New York Times

that the request for a court order that would have sealed the depositions of witnesses in the dispute until a trial actually began was so unusual that stance in years of even a request of this sort."

The suit may not come to trial until 1968 or 1969 because

of the long backlog of untried cases in District Court here.
The judge's ruling against sealing the depositions—sworn examinations of witnesses and parties to a suit filed by opposing lawyers—was only a partial victory for Mr. Pearson and Mr. Anderson, authors of the syndicated column, "Washington office, were "false, washington office, were "false, malicious and defamatory," and were designed to destroy his "occupation, reputation, complete the special interests of lobby-ists and businessmen and improperly converted campaign funds to his personal use.

In his libel complaint, filed May 6, the Senator contended that the columnists' reports, purportedly based on letters and documents—taken from his Washington office, were "false, malicious and defamatory," and were designed to destroy his "occupation, reputation, complete the special interests of lobby-ists and businessmen and improperly converted campaign funds to his personal use.

In his libel complaint, filed May 6, the Senator contended that the columnists' reports, purportedly based on letters and documents—taken from his washington office, were "false, malicious and defamatory," and were designed to destroy his "occupation, reputation, complete the properly converted campaign funds to his personal use.

In his libel complaint, filed May 6, the Senator contended that the columnists' reports, purportedly based on letters and documents—taken from his washington office, were "false, malicious and defamatory," and were designed to destroy his "occupation, reputation, complete the properly converted campaign funds to his personal use.

In his libel complaint, filed May 6, the Senator contended that the columnists' reports, purportedly based on letters and documents—taken from his washington office, were "false, purportedly based on letters and documents—taken from his washington office, were "false, purportedly based on letters and documents—taken from his libel complaint.

Last Friday Judge Holtzoff rejected the columnists! contention that the depositons, including that of the Senator, should be taken in open sessions. He iterated today his refusal to order the open questioning of any witnesses but said that transcripts of the questioning would be made public as soon as they were filed in the court. The deposition-taking process has been a point of special interest. According to the deposition schedule laid down by Judge Holtzoff today, these informal questioning sessions will almost certainly precede—perhans by many weeks—the coop.

WASHINGTON, May 17—A
Federal judge overruled today
a request by Senator Thomas
J. Dodd. Democrat of Connecticut, that would have kept seicret thie pretrial statements of
witnesses in his \$5-million libel
and conspiracy suit against
Drew Pearson and Jack Anderson, Washington columnists.
United. States District Judge
Alexander Holtzoff told John
F. Sonnett, Mr. Dodd's lawyer,
that the request for a court
washington to the deposition schedule laid down by
Judge Holtzoff today, these informal questioning sessions will
almost certainly precede—perhaps by many weeks—the openhearings promised by the Senate Select Cmmittee on standards and Conduct into the columnists' charges against Mr.
Dodd.
The judge overed the first
three such examinations—by
Mr. Sonnett of witnesses apmearing for the columnists—to

Mr. Sonnett of witnesses appearing for the columnists—to begin May 26. He ordered the

Genetor to appear next.

In a series of more than 30 syndicated reports since last January, Mr. Pearson and Mr. Anderson have asserted that, Mr. Dodd, in return for favors, improperly sought to advance the special interests of lobby-